

CASE STUDY

Warehouse store
Marburg, Germany



TIME SAVINGS
33 HOURS

LABOUR SAVINGS
75 %



TOTAL SAVINGS
26 %

During the construction of a warehouse store in Marburg, Germany, ventilation ducts were fixed using Gripple's time-saving suspension and fastening systems. This not only reduced the overall installation time by 75%, but also resulted in an overall cost saving of around 26%.

Project Summary

Building type	Warehouse store
Building Structure	Cladding roof
Product suspended	Ventilation ducts
Drop Length	3 meters

Featured Products

<p>UniGrip - Central Exit</p> 	<p>Toggle End Fixing</p> 
--	---



“We needed only about a quarter of the time for assembly compared to conventional systems. Aligning the ducts is much **faster and easier** for colleagues!”

- Project manager -

COST SAVING SUMMARY

	Gripple Solution	Traditional Method
Overview	UniGrip - Central Exit with Toggle End Fixing	Threaded rod M8, Channel nut M8 x 35 mm, Nut M8, Washer and Roofing sheet hanger
Material cost	883,40 €	333,00 €
Installation time	11 hours	44 hours
Labour rate (per hour)	30 €	30 €
Total labour cost	330 €	1320 €
Total cost	1216,40 €	1653,00 €



PROJECT DETAILS

During the construction of a warehouse store in Marburg, ventilation ducts were attached to a cladding roof using the UniGrip – Central Exit and the Toggle End Fixing. The distance from the ceiling was 3 meters, making the use of wire rope more efficient, as no threaded rods with sleeves had to be extended.

GripplE products offer a significant advantage thanks to the simple, fast assembly and adjustment. The work process is not only much easier, but also significantly more flexible and faster. In addition, the entire preparation time for the threaded rod

was eliminated and the channels could be adjusted easily thanks to the tool-free adjustment of the UniGrip - Central Exit.

This project ultimately achieved a total time saving of 33 hours, representing 75% of the total installation time, resulting in significant savings in labor costs. Thus, the total cost of the project was reduced by 26%.

